

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/919,039	07/30/2001	Matthew R. Kaser	PA-0035 US	4191
759	01.10/4005			
INCYTE GEN	OMICS INC.			
3160 Porter Drive			EXAMINER	
Palo Alto, CA 94304			CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			DATE MAILED: 01/10/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/919,039 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

1634

Kaser



Arun Chakrabarti -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/30/01 and 10/1/02 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) X Claim(s) 1-21 _____ is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) ______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) X Claims 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) X Other: Detailed Action

Application/Control Number: 09/919,039

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-6, and 12-14, drawn to nucleic acids, classified in class 536, subclass22.1.
 - II. Claims 7-11, drawn to method of nucleic acid hybridization, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - III. Claims 15-16, drawn to method of production of protein, classified in class 435, subclass 91.1.
 - IV. Claims 17-20, drawn to use of protein, classified in class 436, subclass 500.
 - V. Claim 21, drawn to protein, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Groups I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the nucleic acids of Group I can be used in the method of nucleic acid hybridization of Group II or can be used to make RNA and protein or can be used to make antisense nucleic acids for gene therapy.

Application/Control Number: 09/919,039 Page 3

Art Unit: 1634

- 3. Inventions of Groups I and III-V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions of nucleic acids of Group I is not disclosed as capable of use together with method of production of protein of Group III or use of protein of Group IV or protein of Group V and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects.
- 4. Inventions of Groups II and III-V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions of method of nucleic acid hybridization of Group II is not disclosed as capable of use together with method of production of protein of Group III or use of protein of Group IV or protein of Group V and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects.
- 5. Inventions of Groups III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions of method of production of protein of Group III is not disclosed as capable of use together with or use of protein of Group IV and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects.

Application/Control Number: 09/919,039 Page 4

Art Unit: 1634

- 6. Inventions of Groups III and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the protein of Group V can be made by the method of production of protein of Group III by cell culture or by organic chemistry procedures e.g., solid phase peptide synthesis.
- 7. Inventions of Groups IV and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the proteins of Group V can be used in the immunoassay of Group IV or can be used to make RNA, and DNA.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. Claims 1-11, 12-20, and 21 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising 401 structurally and therefore patentably distinct species for claims 1-11, 18 structurally and therefore patentably distinct species for claims 12-20, and 3 structurally and therefore patentably distinct species for claim 21. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to

Application/Control Number: 09/919,039 Page 5

Art Unit: 1634

elect a single disclosed species for each appropriately elected Group, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

10. A telephone call was made to Lynn Murry on December 20, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CAR 1.143).

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun Chakrabarti, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 306-5818.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group analyst Chantae Dessau whose telephone number is (703)605-1237. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission via the P.T.O. Fax Center located In Crystal

Art Unit: 1634

Mall 1. The CM1 Fax Center numbers for Technology Center 1600 are either (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242. Please note that the faxing of such papers must conform with the Notice to Comply published In the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Arun Chakrabarti

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1634

December 24, 2002

W. Gary Jones

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600